Τ.	BEFORE THE					
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION					
3						
	IN THE MATTER OF:)					
4)					
	HAROLD D. SAVITZ AND)					
5	MANORS OF HIGHLAND PARK)					
	CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION,)					
6	Complainant,)					
) No. 10-0598					
7)					
	COMMONWEALTH EDISON)					
8	COMPANY and CONSTELLATION)					
	NEWENERGY, INC.,)					
9	Respondent.)					
)					
10	Complaint as to)					
	billing/charges in)					
11	Highland Park, Illinois.)					
12						
	Chicago, Illinois					
13	September 19, 2012					
14	Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 2:34 p					
	in Conference Room N-808, 160 North LaSalle Street					
15	Chicago, Illinois.					
16						
	BEFORE:					
17	Ms. Leslie Haynes, Administrative Law Judge					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Mr. Harold D. Savitz,
	1019 Deerfield Place,
3	Highland Park, Illinois 60035,
	Complainant;
4	
	LAW OFFICES OF MARK L. GOLDSTEIN, P.C.,
5	(3019 Province Circle,
	Mundelein, Illinois 60060,
6	(847) 949-1340), by:
	MR. MARK L. GOLDSTEIN,
7	mlglawoffices@aol.com,
8	and
9	COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY,
	(440 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3300,
10	Chicago, Illinois 60605,
	(312) 394-3866), by:
11	MS. ERIN BUECHLER,
	erin.buechler@comed.com,
12	for the Respondent,
	Commonwealth Edison Company;
13	
	CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY INC.,
14	(4300 Winfield Road,
	Warrenville, Illinois 60555,
15	(630) $657-4449), by:$
	MS. CYNTHIA BRADY,
16	for the Respondent,
	Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	I N D E X					
2						
	WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge
3	•					
	None.					
4						
5			ЕХН	IBITS	}	
6						
	NUMBER		MARKED	FOR ID	IN E	EVIDENCE
7						
	None.					
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- 2 Pursuant to the direction of the
- 3 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 10-0598. This is the complaint of Harold Savitz and
- 5 Manors of Highland Park Condominium Association
- 6 versus Commonwealth Edison Company and Constellation
- NewEnergy. May I have the appearances for the
- 8 record, please? Starting with you, may I have your
- 9 name and address?
- MR. SAVITZ: Harold Savitz, 1019 Deerfield
- Place, Highland Park, Illinois.
- Do you want my phone number?
- JUDGE HAYNES: No, that's okay.
- MR. SAVITZ: I thought you wanted to call me.
- JUDGE HAYNES: I have your phone number.
- Go ahead.
- MS. BRADY: Cynthia Brady for Constellation
- NewEnergy, 4300 Winfield Road, Warrenville, Illinois,
- 19 60555**,** (630) 657-4449.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Mark Goldstein, Commonwealth
- Edison Company, 3019 Province Circle, Mundelein,
- 22 Illinois, 60060. My telephone is (847) 949-1340.

- And with me this afternoon is Erin Buechler of ComEd.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Thank you.
- Would somebody like to bring me up to
- date on what is going on with the -- what we
- discussed last time, which was that the parties seem
- to be settling? Has anything happened in that
- 7 respect?
- 8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'd like to report to you,
- Judge, that on behalf of the Manors of Highland Park
- 10 Condominium Association, Allen Kovin has executed the
- stipulation and joint motion to dismiss with
- prejudice. He has also informed me by letter that
- Mr. Savitz, who is here this afternoon, refuses to
- sign the stipulation to dismiss. And, therefore,
- since Mr. Savitz is not a proper party complainant, I
- would make an oral motion to dismiss Mr. Savitz as a
- complainant.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Did you hear that, Mr. Savitz?
- MR. SAVITZ: I heard that, but it bothers me
- because I'm the one who brought the action, and I let
- the condominiums in. I signed the agreement to let
- them in. I didn't have to.

- JUDGE HAYNES: Before you say anything else,
- what -- and why is he not a proper party to the
- 3 complaint?
- 4 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well, because he is merely an
- owner of one of the condominiums and one of the
- buildings that are in question in the complaint.
- JUDGE HAYNES: And --
- 8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: The Manors of Highland Park
- 9 Condominium Association is the proper party
- complainant to this proceeding, and they've
- executed -- it is executed, a stipulation and joint
- motion to dismiss.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Mr. Savitz, do you have a
- complaint regarding your personal ComEd account?
- MR. SAVITZ: What did you say?
- JUDGE HAYNES: Do you have a complaint
- regarding your personal ComEd account?
- MR. SAVITZ: No. No.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- MR. SAVITZ: The association -- because I think
- I have lived in this condominium longer than anybody
- else. I'm the one who brought about this extra

- meeting that we're fighting about, and I'm the one
- that caught Edison double billing. And I've got a
- lot of papers here that I gave Mr. Kovin, and he
- ⁴ never -- he never processed them, where I told him
- everything that happened, and it just -- actually,
- 6 nobody -- nobody caught Edison except me. So I don't
- like the idea that they're saying I have nothing to
- 8 do with this thing. I have everything to do with it.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Mr. Goldstein?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I agree that Mr. Savitz filed
- the initial complaint. He has no complaint with
- respect to his individual condominium unit as you
- pointed out to him. All of the other matters that
- deal with the association, all of the issues that
- were brought forward throughout these two years of
- proceedings have been resolved between Commonwealth
- Edison Company and the condominium association. That
- is the reason that Mr. Kovin executed the stipulation
- 19 to dismiss.
- I can tell you flat out that ComEd is
- not going to sign any extension agreement, and we're
- just done with this. We've done everything

- imaginable out at the association with respect to the
- metering. Mr. Savitz is incorrect. There was never
- any double billing.
- 4 MR. SAVITZ: There was never any what?
- 5 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Double billing.
- JUDGE HAYNES: What is Mr. Kovin's position at
- 7 the -- I just don't recall.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: He's treasurer of the
- 9 association.
- MR. SAVITZ: He's the treasurer and the
- manager.
- JUDGE HAYNES: And so he has the authority to
- sign for the condominium association?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: And he appeared at virtually
- every hearing that ever occurred here.
- JUDGE HAYNES: I'm not -- I'm not disputing. I
- just don't remember exactly his position.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: Both with your Honor and the
- 19 previous ALJ.
- JUDGE HAYNES: And so, Mr. Savitz, what -- why
- do you think you have the authority to do something
- different than the condominium association?

- MR. SAVITZ: Well, as I say, I initiated the
- whole thing. If I hadn't done that, Edison would
- still be double billing us. They would have never
- 4 corrected their -- and the things that they did
- really bother me because it's so blatant. They deny
- it, but they can't prove to me that they didn't
- ⁷ double bill.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Have you seen the settlement
- gareement that -- the settlement that they've
- reached, ComEd and the condominium association?
- MR. SAVITZ: You know, my --
- JUDGE HAYNES: Have you seen --
- MR. SAVITZ: -- hearing aids are not that good
- somehow.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Have you seen the agreement
- between --
- MR. SAVITZ: Yes, I saw the agreement. They
- wanted me to sign it. I will -- I will not sign
- 19 it --
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- MR. SAVITZ: -- until we get the proper
- reimbursement.

- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- 2 And how about for Constellation?
- What's the status of that?
- MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. I wanted to let
- 5 the parties know on the record, just to go through an
- administrative matter, it was via your Honor that
- actually brought Constellation into the complaint,
- 8 that we were not an initial respondent. Due to some
- 9 administrative problem apparently in the clerk's
- office, our agent for service of process was not
- served with that notification to appear initially.
- 12 It appears that that particular order was served
- electronically, and our agent does not actually have
- an e-mail address.
- We were notified via your Honor's
- ruling with respect to Mr. Goldstein's recent motion
- to dismiss, which was served via hard copy, that we
- actually had notice of this proceeding, after which I
- have filed my appearance. I had a telephonic
- conversation with Mr. Kovin who confirmed my
- understanding that Constellation NewEnergy had been
- informed informally by Commonwealth Edison of the

- fact that the customer would like to speak with us
- about the account. We resolved the amounts that were
- due. Mr. Kovin indicated a number. And, in fact, in
- 4 looking at it, our operations folks determined that
- 5 the Manors of Highland Park was actually due a bit
- 6 more than they had indicated. A check was cut, which
- 7 was received. And it's my understanding, from
- speaking with Mr. Kovin, that the matter vis-a-vis
- 9 the Manors of Highland Park and Constellation are
- settled. I confirmed with Mr. Savitz before we came
- in that that was his understanding as well.
- JUDGE HAYNES: And --
- MS. BRADY: Sorry. I have not had the
- opportunity as of yet, your Honor, to actually
- make -- do a formal stipulation and joint motion to
- dismiss for Constellation's portion of this but will
- do so before the next status hearing.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- MR. SAVITZ: Can I ask one question? When did
- 20 Constellation start their service?
- MS. BRADY: I do not recall the answer to that
- other than the fact that it was beyond the two-year

- administrative rule provision but that we did settle
- for charges going back to, I believe, the beginning
- of service with Manors of Highland Park.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- 5 And is Mr. Savitz a -- does he have an
- account with the company, or is this only strictly
- 7 with Manors?
- 8 MS. BRADY: This is the Manors of Highland
- 9 Park.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- I think, based on what I've heard here
- today, that there -- that because the complainant is
- the Manors of Highland Park and not Mr. Savitz, that
- Mr. Savitz can't bring a complaint against the two
- energy companies on -- you don't have standing to
- speak for the Manors of Highland Park. You don't
- have the authority. And if it was your own personal
- complaint, yes, of course, you could do these things.
- But the fact that the Manors of Highland Park has
- settled with ComEd, is actually executing an
- agreement, and it appears to soon be doing that with
- Constellation, I don't see that there is anything to

- go forward with here today or, in fact, at all at the
- commission. And I understand that you -- Mr. Savitz,
- you wouldn't agree with that. And so, therefore, I
- 4 have to -- I'll be issuing a ruling granting the
- motion made by Mr. Goldstein to dismiss you, your
- 6 complaint, and that will be in the form of a proposed
- order, which you may respond to, arguing -- or
- 8 stating why you disagree with being dismissed. And
- other than that, I guess I'm waiting for a joint
- motion to dismiss between the Manors and
- 11 Constellation.
- 12 Is there something else that needs to
- be addressed today? Is there something --
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I guess I have just one more
- comment, Judge.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Sure.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: With respect to the stipulation
- and joint motion that has been entered into between
- 19 Manors of Highland Park and Commonwealth Edison
- Company, I will be filing that stipulation with the
- 21 Chief Clerk of the Commission.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

- 1 Mr. Savitz, did you have any questions
- about the process of how this is going to --
- MR. SAVITZ: I'll tell you what my whole point
- is. I'm an owner. Edison's been stealing from us
- 5 since 19 --
- 6 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm going to object to this,
- Judge. I've heard enough of this stuff for the last
- 8 two years.
- 9 MR. SAVITZ: Okay.
- 10 So --
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. One at a time.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm tired of hearing it. I ask
- that it be stricken from the record.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Do you have a question about the
- process, Mr. Savitz?
- MR. SAVITZ: Yes. It's never been completed.
- There are things that they did, costs that they
- burdened us with, of course. And as I say, I
- documented everything and gave it to Mr. Kovin
- because he was the treasurer, and it's never been
- brought up. They did things. I don't care. This
- two-year deal I don't understand because right now

- you read in the papers every day about -- like one
- guy got -- was convicted the other day, did something
- 3 37 years ago. Now, I --
- JUDGE HAYNES: You know, I don't know what the
- 5 settlement was between --
- 6 MR. SAVITZ: I made the arrangements in spring
- of 1983, which Edison never lived up to. And I feel
- 8 that the condominium association and all of the
- owners, of course, are entitled to reimbursement for
- those -- let's call them errors. Let's not say
- stealing. We'll say errors.
- JUDGE HAYNES: But it sounds, though, that you
- have a complaint with the board or the condominium
- association and what they've done, but it still
- doesn't give you the authority to bring a complaint
- on behalf of the board, the condominium association.
- MR. SAVITZ: Well, they've been taking money
- from me, only a portion, for -- since 1983. And I
- think I'm entitled to ask for reimbursement from the
- association.
- JUDGE HAYNES: And I encourage you to respond
- to my proposed order that is going to be granting the

- 1 motion and put in writing why you disagree with my
- ruling. And when you receive the proposed order from
- the commission, it will have the dates for doing
- 4 that. And that will be something that you file with
- the clerk's office. And the commission, the five
- 6 commissioners are the final say in this matter, and
- that is who will be reading what you file in response
- 8 to my order. And there is always a possibility that
- they'll agree with you, so I encourage you to file a
- response to my order after you receive that.
- MR. SAVITZ: But I created this monster. I was
- on the board.
- JUDGE HAYNES: And -- okay. But you're not now
- is what the problem is.
- MR. SAVITZ: That's of choice. I can be on the
- board at any time, but I don't want to.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.
- 18 Is there anything else?
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: I have nothing else.
- JUDGE HAYNES: Thank you. And, Mr. Savitz, I
- encourage you to file a response to my order. And
- based on the statements here that the Manors of

1	Highland Park has reached settlement with everybody
2	and my decision regarding Mr. Savitz, I'm going to
3	mark the record in this matter heard and taken.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Judge.
6	MS. BRADY: Thank you, Judge.
7	
8	(END OF PROCEEDINGS.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	